

Soft Law in European Community Law

Linda Senden

Department of European and
International Public Law,
University of Tilburg



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND OREGON
2004

Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Soft Law Acts</i>	xxxi
<i>Abbreviations</i>	lv

Part I Lawmaking in EC Law

1. Setting the Scene	
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 The Context of EC Legislation	5
1.3 Core Characteristics of the EC Legislative Process	7
1.4 The Crisis in EC Legislation	9
1.4.1 National Developments	9
1.4.2 Excessive Quantity	10
1.4.3 Lack of Quality	11
1.5 The Challenge: Enhancing the Effectiveness, Legitimacy and Transparency of Community Action	13
1.6 Meeting the Challenge: A New Legislative Policy	16
1.6.1 EC Legislation: No Longer 'Terra Incognita'	16
1.6.2 Less and Better Legislation	17
1.6.3 More Modes of European Governance	20
1.7 Community Soft Law: An Alternative to Legislation?	23
1.7.1 The Use of Soft Law in EC Law	23
1.7.2 The Central Question and its Dual Purpose	25
1.7.3 Definition and Framework of the Analysis	29
2. Sources of EC Law and EC Legislation	
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Classification into Primary, Secondary and Tertiary Sources of Law	35
2.3 Primary Sources	37
2.3.1 The EC, ECSC, EAEC Treaties and Related Acts	37
2.3.2 Intermezzo: The Necessity of Additional Sources of Law	38
2.3.3 General Principles of Law	39
2.3.4 International Treaties Concluded by the EC	41

2.4	Secondary Sources	41
2.4.1	Legislation	41
2.4.1.1	The Concept of Legislation in EC Law	41
2.4.1.2	The Purposes of Harmonisation and Unification	45
2.4.1.3	Regulations	46
2.4.1.4	Directives	48
2.4.2	'Individual' Decisions	52
2.4.3	<i>Sui Generis</i> Decisions	53
2.4.4	Relations between the Secondary Sources	54
2.5	Tertiary Sources	55
2.6	'In-Between' Sources	56
2.6.1	Agreements Concluded between the Member States	56
2.6.2	Case Law	58
2.7	Conclusions	59
3.	Guiding Principles for Legislation	
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	A Broad Conception of Legitimacy: Democracy and Rule of Law	63
3.3	Democratic Legitimacy Requirement	66
3.3.1	Formal Democratic Legitimation of the Decision-Making Process	66
3.3.2	Questions Arising in Relation to Soft Law	68
3.4	Rule of Law Requirement: The Principle of Conferred Powers	68
3.4.1	Principle of Legality and Instrumental Function of the Legal Basis Requirement	69
3.4.2	Principle of Legal Protection and Guarantee Function of the Legal Basis Requirement	72
3.4.3	External Division of Powers: Competence to Act in Abstracto	73
3.4.4	Internal Division of Powers: Institutional Balance and Loyal Cooperation	74
3.4.5	Principle of Democracy	77
3.4.6	Questions Arising in Relation to Soft Law	78
3.5	Rule of Law Requirement: The Principle of Subsidiarity	79
3.5.1	External Exercise of Powers: Competence to Act in Concreto	79
3.5.2	Criteria for Application	82
3.5.2.1	Non-Exclusive Competence	82
3.5.2.2	Effectiveness and Efficiency	83
3.5.2.3	Democratic Legitimacy	84

3.6	Rule of Law Requirement: Good Governance	86
3.6.1	Principle of Proportionality	86
3.6.1.1	Proportionality as a Judicial and Legislative Doctrine	86
3.6.1.2	Form and Intensity of Community Action	89
3.6.1.3	Questions Arising in Relation to Soft Law	92
3.6.2	Principles of Effectiveness and Equality	93
3.6.2.1	Implications at Community and National Levels	93
3.6.2.2	Questions Arising in Relation to Soft Law	96
3.6.3	Principle of Transparency	96
3.6.3.1	Openness and Accessibility: Article 255 EC	97
3.6.3.2	Giving Reasons Requirement: Article 253 EC	99
3.6.3.3	Publication and Notification Requirement: Article 254 EC	100
3.6.3.4	Principle of Legal Certainty	101
3.6.3.5	Questions Arising in Relation to Soft Law	102
3.7	Conclusions	103

Part II Community Soft Law Instruments

4. Introduction to the Concept of Soft Law in EC Law

4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Terminology	109
4.3	A Definition of Soft Law	111
4.4	Heterogeneity of the Phenomenon of Soft Law	113
4.4.1	Two Lines in Legal Writing	113
4.4.2	Problems Connected with this Heterogeneity	115
4.5	Prerequisites for Soft Law to Function as an Alternative to Legislation	117
4.6	A Functional Classification of Soft Law Instruments	118
4.6.1	Three Main Categories	118
4.6.2	Three Main Functions	119
4.7	Approach and Delimitation	121

5. Categories and Functions of Soft Law

5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Preparatory and Informative Instruments	123
5.2.1	Preparatory Instruments	124
5.2.1.1	Green Papers	124

	5.2.1.2	White Papers	126
	5.2.1.3	Action Programmes	128
5.2.2		Informative Instruments	132
	5.2.2.1	Informative, Interpretative and Decisional Communications	132
	5.2.2.2	Inter-Institutional Communications	133
	5.2.2.3	Purely Informative Communications	135
	5.2.2.4	Individual Communications	136
5.3		Interpretative and Decisional Instruments	138
	5.3.1	Administrative Rules in EC Law	138
	5.3.2	Interpretative Communications and Notices	143
	5.3.3	Decisional Notices and Communications	148
	5.3.4	Decisional Guidelines, Codes and Frameworks	153
5.4		Formal Steering Instruments	155
	5.4.1	The Term and Concept of Steering Instruments	155
	5.4.2	Points of Departure: Article 249 EC and Legal Writing	158
	5.4.3	Commission Recommendations	162
	5.4.3.1	The (Legal) Nature of the Rules	162
	5.4.3.2	Purposes and Functions	165
	5.4.3.3	Adoption, Legal Basis, Publication and Notification	171
	5.4.4	Council Recommendations	173
	5.4.4.1	The (Legal) Nature of the Rules	173
	5.4.4.2	Purposes and Functions	176
	5.4.4.3	Adoption, Legal Basis, Publication and Notification	183
	5.4.5	Commission Opinions	186
5.5		Non-Formal Steering Instruments	189
	5.5.1	Introduction: Acts of the Member States in Different Capacities	189
	5.5.2	Council Conclusions	193
	5.5.3	Council Declarations and Member State Declarations	197
	5.5.4	Joint Declarations and Inter-Institutional Agreements	200
	5.5.5	Council Resolutions	203
	5.5.6	Council and Commission Codes of Conduct or Practice	211
	5.5.7	Mixed Conclusions, Declarations and Resolutions	216
5.6		Conclusions	219
	5.6.1	Do the Instruments Examined Indeed Constitute Soft Law?	219

5.6.2	Alternatives to Legislation?	221
5.6.3	Possible Contributions to the Effectiveness, Legitimacy and Transparency of Community Action	224

Part III Legal Issues of Community Soft Law

6. Legally Binding Force

6.1	Introduction	235
6.2	The Umbrella Concept of 'Legal Effect'	236
6.2.1	A Dual Concept of Legally Binding Force in the EC Treaty	236
6.2.2	Indirect Legal Effects Recognised in the Case Law	239
6.2.3	In Summary: An Umbrella Concept	240
6.2.4	Delimitation of <i>De Facto Effect</i>	241
6.2.5	A Question of Terminology	242
6.3	A General Precondition: No Derogation from Hard Law	243
6.4	'Inherent' Legally Binding Force	246
6.4.1	A Formal Approach to Hard Law	246
6.4.2	The Conditions for 'Inherent' Legally Binding Force	247
6.4.3	Differences in Scope	247
6.5	'Incidental' Legally Binding Force on the Basis of Substance (I)	248
6.5.1	A Substantive Approach to Soft Law	249
6.5.2	The Intention of Legally Binding Force	251
6.5.2.1	Wording and Terms	251
6.5.2.2	Context and Previous History	254
6.5.2.3	Other Possible Indicators	255
6.5.3	New or Existing Legal Effects?	258
6.5.4	Legal Basis and Exercise of Competence in Conformity Therewith	259
6.5.5	Some Obscurities	261
6.5.5.1	Is the Court's 'Test' Complete?	261
6.5.5.2	Can Binding Measures Be Laid Down in Any Instrument Whatsoever?	265
6.5.6	The 'Test' Summarised	266
6.5.7	Scope and Implications	267
6.5.7.1	Implications of <i>Lawful Hard Law</i> in the Clothing of Soft Law: Scope of the Legally Binding Force	267

6.5.7.2	Implications of <i>Unlawful</i> Hard Law in the Clothing of Soft Law: Annulment or Non-Existence?	268
6.6	'Incidental' Legally Binding Force on the Basis of Agreement (II)	270
6.6.1	Introduction	270
6.6.2	Explicit Provision for 'Agreed Acts' in Primary or Secondary EC Law	272
6.6.3	Existence of a Specific Duty of Cooperation	273
6.6.4	Scope of the Legally Binding Force	280
6.7	An Assessment of the Court's Approach	282
6.7.1	Prospects for Legally Binding 'Soft Law' on the Basis of the Court's Test (I)	282
6.7.2	Prospects for Legally Binding Agreements (II): The Case of Inter-Institutional Agreements	284
6.7.3	Pros and Cons of the Court's Approach	287
6.8	Conclusions	289
7.	The Competence to Adopt Soft Law	
7.1	Introduction	291
7.2	The Relevance of the Question of Competence	292
7.3	The Applicability of the Principle of Conferred Powers	295
7.3.1	Formal Steering Instruments	295
7.3.1.1	The Competence of the Commission	296
7.3.1.2	The Competence of the Council	301
7.3.2	Non-Formal Steering Instruments	303
7.3.2.1	The Competence of the Commission	304
7.3.2.2	The Competence of the Council	304
7.3.3	Preparatory and Informative Instruments	306
7.3.4	Interpretative and Decisional Instruments	312
7.4	Conclusions	319
8.	Indirect Legal Effects for the Legislature	
8.1	Introduction	321
8.2	Implementation Issues of Community Soft Law for the Legislature	322
8.3	'Transposition' of Soft Law by the <i>Community</i> Legislature	325
8.3.1	Possible Grounds for an Obligation of Transposition	325
8.3.2	An Obligation on the Basis of the Treaty or Secondary Legislation?	326
8.3.3	An Obligation on the Basis of General Principles of Law?	331

8.3.3.1	Conflicting Principles	331
8.3.3.2	An Example: The Re-Regulation of State Aid Law and Policy	332
8.3.3.3	The Principle of Institutional Balance	334
8.3.3.4	The Principles of Community Loyalty, Effectiveness and Equal Treatment	336
8.3.3.5	The Principles of Transparency and Legal Certainty	338
8.4	Compliance with Soft Law by the <i>Community</i> Legislature	340
8.4.1	In Principle a Discretion: The NIFPO Case	340
8.4.2	An Obligation on the Basis of General Principles of Law?	343
8.5	Transposition of and Compliance with Soft Law by the <i>National</i> Legislature	345
8.5.1	Introduction	345
8.5.2	In Principle a National Competence	346
8.5.3	What Obligation on the Basis of Article 10 EC?	348
8.5.3.1	Legal Writing	348
8.5.3.2	No General Obligation on the Basis of Article 10 EC	351
8.5.3.3	An Obligation on the Basis of a Specific Duty of Cooperation: The 'Hague Fisheries Resolution Cases'	352
8.5.4	Possible Restrictions to Transposition	356
8.6	Conclusions	358
9.	Indirect Legal Effects for the Judiciary	
9.1	Introduction	361
9.2	The Power and Task of Interpretation of the European Court of Justice	363
9.3	Three Possible Roles for Soft Law	365
9.4	The Role of Soft Law in the Interpretation of Community Law by the <i>Community</i> Courts	366
9.4.1	The Role Assigned to Interpretative Commission Instruments	367
9.4.1.1	A Restrictive Approach of the European Court of Justice	367
9.4.1.2	A Dual Approach of the Court of First Instance	370
9.4.1.3	Actual 'Covering' of the Commission's Interpretation	372
9.4.1.4	Conclusion	373

9.4.2	Intermezzo: The Role Assigned to Interpretative Declarations	374
9.4.2.1	A Favourable Approach to Interpretative Council Declarations	374
9.4.2.2	An Unfavourable Approach to Member State Declarations	377
9.4.2.3	Conclusion	378
9.4.3	The Role Assigned to Preparatory and Steering Instruments	380
9.4.3.1	A Favourable Approach	380
9.4.3.2	Conclusion	383
9.5	The Role of Community Soft Law in <i>National Courts</i> : Interpretation Aid or Duty of Consistent Interpretation?	384
9.5.1	In Principle a National Competence	384
9.5.2	A Shift in the Case Law: The Grimaldi Case	386
9.5.3	The Scope of the 'Grimaldi Obligation'	387
9.5.3.1	Arguments for a Broad Reading	387
9.5.3.2	Arguments for a Restrictive Reading	389
9.5.3.3	Conclusion: A Mandatory Interpretation Aid	391
9.5.4	To What Law and Soft Law Instruments Does the Obligation Apply?	392
9.6	General Implications of the Use of Soft Law as an Interpretation Aid	393
9.7	Conclusions	397
10.	Indirect Legal Effects for the Executive	
10.1	Introduction	401
10.2	Questions of Implementation for the Executive	402
10.3	The Recognition of Self-Binding Effect of Self-Imposed Rules	404
10.3.1	Approaches in National Law	404
10.3.2	The Notion or Principles of Good Administration in EC Law	407
10.3.3	Effectiveness and Legitimacy: Conflicting Principles in This Respect?	410
10.4	Application and Enforcement of Soft Law by the Community Executive in the Area of Staff Cases	411
10.4.1	On the Basis of the Equality Principle: the <i>Louwage</i> Formula	411
10.4.2	On the Basis of the Principles of <i>Patere Legem Quam Ipse Fecisti</i> and Legitimate Expectations	415

10.4.3	On the Basis of Essential Procedural Requirements	417
10.4.4	Limitations and Implications of Self-Binding Effect	418
10.5	Application and Enforcement of Soft Law by the <i>Community</i> Executive in Other Areas of Law	420
10.5.1	Preliminary Observations	420
10.5.2	On the Basis of the Equality Principle	422
10.5.3	On the Basis of the Principle of Legitimate Expectations	428
10.5.4	On the Basis of a Duty of Consistency?	431
10.5.5	Limitations and Implications of Self-Binding Effect	434
10.6	Application and Enforcement of Soft Law by the <i>National</i> Executive	436
10.6.1	Different Situations	436
10.6.2	On the Basis of the Principles of Legitimate Expectations, Equality and Effectiveness?	440
10.6.3	On the Basis of a Specific Duty of Cooperation	442
10.7	Conclusions	447

Part IV Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations

11. The Use of Community Soft Law Evaluated

11.1	Introduction	453
11.2	Soft Law Only a Limited Alternative to Legislation	455
11.2.1	A Hybrid System of Community Soft Law Instruments	455
11.2.2	Its Pre-, Post- and Para-Law Functions	457
11.3	The Legal Effect of Community Soft Law	461
11.3.1	'Inherent' and 'Incidental' Legally Binding Force	462
11.3.2	Indirect Legal Effects of True Community Soft Law	467
11.3.2.1	The Legislative Level	467
11.3.2.2	The Judicial Level	470
11.3.2.3	The Executive Level	474
11.4	Implications of Soft Law for Democracy and the Rule of Law	477
11.4.1	The Legality of Community Soft Law: The Issue of Competence	478
11.4.2	Democratic Legitimacy	482
11.4.2.1	Democratic Influence on the Choice of Instrument	483

11.4.2.2	Democratic Influence in the Adoption Process of Soft Law Acts	486
11.4.3	Internal Division of Powers	488
11.4.4	External Division of Powers	491
11.4.5	Uniformising or Differentiating Effects?	494
11.4.6	Obscuring or Contributing to Transparency?	495
11.5	Recommendations	498
	<i>Bibliography</i>	503
	<i>Index</i>	523